

Full Length Article

Absorption, Bioaccumulation and Transportation of Selenium in Three Vegetables Differing in Selenium Transport Distances

Mei Yang^{1,2†}, Wanyi Zhao^{1†}, Weihong Xu^{1*}, Zhangmi He¹ and Deyu Feng¹

¹College of Resource and Environment, Southwest University, Chongqing, 400716, China

²Crop Research Institute, Sichuan Academy of Agriculture Science, Sichuan Chengdu 610066, China

*For correspondence: xuwei_hong@163.com

[†]Authors Yang and Zhao should be as co-first authors

Abstract

A pot experiment was conducted to compare the differences of growth, absorption, transportation and accumulation of selenium (Se) in three vegetables differing in Se transport distances, including cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) and radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) exposed to soil Se levels (0, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0 and 15.0 mg·kg⁻¹). Results showed that soil application of Se (<10 mg·kg⁻¹) remarkably promoted the growth of all three vegetables by increasing plant dry weights by 5.46% to 58.2% compared to the control without Se treatment. Se concentration and accumulation in roots, stems and leaves increased with the increase of soil Se level and reached the highest when applied at 15 mg·kg⁻¹. At the same Se level (≤ 15 mg·kg⁻¹), Se concentration in roots, stems and leaves of radish was higher than tomato and cucumber. Se enrichment and its translocation factors for radish were also significantly higher than tomato and cucumber. Compared to tomato and cucumber, radish is more suitable for selenium-rich vegetable cultivation under soil applied Se ≤ 15 mg·kg⁻¹. © 2018 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Exogenous Se; Se absorption; Vegetables; Bioaccumulation factor (BCF); Transportation factor (TF)

Introduction

Selenium (Se) is one of the essential trace elements for living organisms (Schiavon and Pilon-Smits, 2017), known as 'the protective agent of life' (Zhao et al., 2017). Studies have shown that if the Se intake of human body is less than 50 μ g·d⁻¹ for a long time, it may result in series of health problems, such as fertility decline, Keshan disease and Kaschin Beck disease (Mora et al., 2015). With the continuous understanding and improvement of the physiological function of Se, its nutrition and supplementation have attracted more attention. For the Se supplement in human and animal, the inorganic Se not only has the problem of low absorption and conversion rate, but also causes the adverse effects on human and animal health because of the narrow range of safe doses of Se (Bañuelos et al., 2017). Many studies have shown that biogenic Se is the most safe and efficient method of Se supplement in human body (Longchamp et al., 2015; Nagy et al., 2015; Liao et al., 2016). Plants can transform the absorbed exogenous inorganic Se into the safer and more effective Se containing metabolite, such as SeCy, SeMET and MeSeCy (Guignardi and Schiiavon, 2017). Therefore, Se application in crops can improve nutrition level of human and animals in low-Se or Se-deficiency areas.

Vegetables as the essential food for people's daily diet play a very important and irreplaceable nutritional role in maintaining normal physiological function and improving health. Therefore, it has important practical significance and broad prospects to carry out the applied research and promotion of Se-rich vegetables. Among the general crops, the strongest ability of Se accumulation belongs to cruciferae, such as mustard greens, radish, broccoli and turnip (EI-Mehdawi et al., 2015; Thiruvengadam and Chung, 2015; Mahn, 2017; Puccinelli et al., 2017). At present, there are few reports on the differences in the absorption, transportation and enrichment of Se in long, medium and short distances. This experiment with cucumber, tomato and radish as the experimental crops studied the effects of different Se treatments on the growth, its absorption, transportation and accumulation in these vegetables.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material and Experimental Treatments

The seeds of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) and radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) were bought from Xiema Farmers Market in Beibei District,

To cite this paper: Yang, M., W. Zhao, W. Xu, Z. He and D. Feng, 2018. Absorption, bioaccumulation and transportation of selenium in three vegetables differing in selenium transport distances. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 20: 2301–2306

Chongqing, China. The experimental soils were the purple soils from the Purple Soil Base at Southwest University. The soil was collected from depth within 0-20 cm by multipoint sampling method, naturally dried, and then ground through 5 mm sieve. The soil pH was 7.11 with the organic matter of 16.80 g·kg⁻¹, total nitrogen 1.40 g·kg⁻¹, available nitrogen 101.63 mg·kg⁻¹, available phosphorus of 46.09 mg·kg⁻¹, available potassium of 171.92 mg·kg⁻¹, cation exchange capacity of 30.3 cmol·kg⁻¹, total Se of 0.38 mg·kg⁻¹ and available Se of 0.020 mg·kg⁻¹.

The pot experiment was conducted at glass greenhouse of College of Resources and Environment of Southwest University from March 4, 2016 to July 12, 2016. Five Se levels (0, 1.0, 5.0, 10.0 and 15.0 mg \cdot kg⁻¹) prepared from Na₂SeO₃ were set up. Before transplanting, Se fertilizer mixed in soil and balanced for 2 weeks. Two plants per pot of cucumber, tomato and radish were planted in plastic containers (25 cm in diameter and 17 cm high), each basin was loaded with 5 kg of air-dried soil screened with 5 mm sieve. The total dosage of nitrogen, phosphate and potassium fertilizers were 180 $mg \cdot kg^{-1}$, 150 $mg \cdot kg^{-1}$ and 150 $mg \cdot kg^{-1}$ as NH_4NO_3 , KH₂PO₄ and K₂SO₄, respectively. The experiment was performed in triplicate, in a randomized complete block arrangement. During the cultivation, deionized water was used to maintain soil water content at 60-80% of the maximum water holding capacity of the field. Cucumber was harvested after 100 days, tomato after 125 days and radish after 60 days, respectively.

Analysis of Se Concentrations in Soil and Plants

Soil and plant samples were ground and digested at 170° C using 10 mL of acid mixture (8 mL of ultrapure nitric acid and 2 mL of perchloric acid) (Kyodan *et al.*, 1988). The acid mixture was heated until white smoke appeared; added with 10 mL of 6 mol·L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid, and the mixture was heated until the white smoke re-appeared (Kyodan *et al.*, 1988). The residual sample was then diluted in 25 mL of ultrapure water (Kyodan *et al.*, 1988). The concentration of Se in the solution was analyzed using hydride generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry (PF6.3, Beijing Purkinje General Instrument Co. Ltd., China). Bioaccumulation factor (BCF) referred to the ratio of Se concentration of roots, stems and leaves in vegetables to soil applied Se, and transportation factor (TF) was the ratio of Se concentration over shoots to roots (Li *et al.*, 2015).

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS21.0 statistical software. The effects of interaction among Se levels on dry weight of plant, Se concentration and accumulation for various vegetable species were subjected to a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; *i.e.*, species and Se treatments) followed by the least significant difference test (P < 0.05).

Results

Effects on Plant Growth

With the increase of soil Se level, plant dry weights of the three vegetables increased first and then decreased. At 5 mg·kg⁻¹ Se level applied to soil, plant dry weights of each vegetable reached the maximum and increased by 19.2%, 10.0% and 20.8% respectively compared to the control. Minimum plant dry weights of all vegetables was found for 15 mg·kg⁻¹ soil applied Se, which decreased by 14.51%, 18.52% and 28.34% respectively compared to the control (Table 1).

The root and shoot ratio of radish was significantly greater than tomatoes and cucumbers at each and similar Se rates. With the increase of soil applied Se, root and shoot ratio of radish and tomato decreased first and then increased, while the root and shoot ratio of cucumber increased along with the rising soil Se level. At 15 mg·kg⁻¹ soil Se, the root and shoot ratio of cucumber reached the maximum value, which was 1.24 times higher than of the control, while the root and shoot ratio of radish reached the minimum value, of 0.73 times for control. At the soil 5 mg·kg⁻¹ Se level, the root and shoot ratio of tomato reached the minimum value of 0.72 times as high as of the control (Table 1).

Se concentration in Plant Parts

Se concentrations in roots, stems, fruits and leaves of the three vegetables increased with the increase of soil Se level. Se concentration of radish was in order of root > leaf > stem, and of tomato and cucumber were in order of root > leaf > stem > fruit (Fig. 1). The maximum values of Se concentrations in roots, stems, fruits and leaves of the three vegetables was found at 15 mg·kg⁻¹ soil Se level. At this level, Se concentrations in leaf and stem were in order of radish > tomato > cucumber, where leaf Se concentration in radish was 1.36 and 1.69 times higher than in tomato and cucumber, respectively. Se concentration in fruit was in order of tomato > cucumber, whereas Se concentration in fruit of tomato was 1.26 times higher than of cucumber. Se concentration in root followed the order as radish > cucumber > tomato, whereas Se concentration in root of radish was 1.62 and 1.51 times higher than tomato and cucumber, respectively. This Se concentration in roots of cucumber was 1.07 times higher than of tomato.

Se accumulation

With the increase of soil applied Se level, Se accumulation in roots, stems, fruit and leaves of the three vegetables increased (Table 2). The highest of plant Se accumulation was found in tomato at 15 mg·kg⁻¹ Se, followed by cucumber. At same Se treatment (from 0 to 15 mg·kg⁻¹), Se accumulation in tomato was in order of leaf >

| Se levels/ | Dry weight/g·pot ⁻¹ | | | | | | | | | | | | Root/Shoot | | |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| mg∙kg⁻¹ | Root | | | Stem | | | Leaf | | | | Fruit | | - | | |
| | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber |
| 0 | 5.11± | 2.79± | 1.87± | $0.85\pm$ | $25.67\pm$ | 17.25± | $1.45\pm$ | $14.21\pm$ | 11.59± | - | $19.28\pm$ | 20.26± | $2.22\pm$ | $0.04\pm$ | 0.04± |
| | 0.03b | 0.75a | 0.12c | 0.10b | 0.47a | 0.06c | 0.05c | 0.07b | 0.72a | | 0.61ab | 0.94a | 0.01c | 0.06ab | 0.03b |
| 1 | $5.12\pm$ | $2.80\pm$ | 2.21± | $0.85\pm$ | $25.79\pm$ | $23.54\pm$ | $1.57\pm$ | $16.36 \pm$ | 12.06± | - | $20.17\pm$ | 21.19± | 2.11 ± 0 | $0.05\pm$ | $0.04\pm$ |
| | 0.57b | 0.28a | 0.27ac | 0.04c | 1.89b | 0.74a | 0.01b | 0.17ab | 0.41b | | 0.31b | 0.32ab | .01a | 0.04a | 0.04a |
| 5 | 6.21± | $2.25\pm$ | 2.29± | $0.96\pm$ | $30.29\pm$ | 21.56± | 1.66± | $16.51\pm$ | 13.56± | - | $19.07\pm$ | $24.14 \pm$ | $2.37\pm$ | $0.03\pm$ | $0.04\pm$ |
| | 0.51ab | 0.22c | 0.27ab | 0.04b | 0.41b | 1.01a | 0.01c | 0.98a | 0.01a | | 0.16a | 1.77a | 0.03c | 0.01b | 0.04bc |
| 10 | $4.11\pm$ | $2.88\pm$ | 2.11± | $0.64\pm$ | $21.39\pm$ | $17.98 \pm$ | 1.61± | $15.38\pm$ | 13.10± | - | $21.44\pm$ | 19.31± | $1.82\pm$ | $0.05\pm$ | $0.04\pm$ |
| | 0.61c | 0.10ab | 0.14a | 0.0b | 1.89c | 0.24a | 0.02a | 0.15b | 0.77c | | 0.74b | 0.10a | 0.02a | 0.01b | 0.06bc |
| 15 | 3.79± | 2.19± | $1.95 \pm$ | $0.43\pm$ | $19.67 \pm$ | $14.58\pm$ | 1.59± | $12.73\pm$ | 9.51± | - | $18.37\pm$ | 17.11± | $1.62\pm$ | $0.04\pm$ | $0.05\pm$ |
| | 0.09c | 0.37b | 0.79a | 0.07a | 0.79b | 0.77c | 0.03c | 0.43b | 0.17a | | 0.19a | 1.94ab | 0.03b | 0.03a | 0.08a |

Table 1: Effects of different selenium levels on dry weight of three vegetables

Different letters (a, b, c) indicate significant difference at $P \le 0.05$ among different species at the same Se level



Fig. 1: Effects of different selenium levels on selenium concentration of roots, stems, leaves and fruits in three vegetables Different letters (a, b, c) indicate significant difference at $P \le 0.05$ among different species at the same Se level

stem > root \approx fruit.

When soil Se level was in the range from 0 to 5 $mg \cdot kg^{-1}$, Se accumulation of cucumber was in order of fruit > leaf > stem > root, while at soil Se level between 10 and 15 $mg \cdot kg^{-1}$, Se accumulation of cucumber was in order of leaf > fruit > stem > root. At the same Se treatment (from 0 to 15 $mg \cdot kg^{-1}$), Se accumulation of radish was in order of root > leaf > stem.

Se Bioaccumulation and Transportation

The bioaccumulation (BCF) and transportation factors (TF) of Se in radish were significantly higher than tomato and cucumber (Table 3). With the increase of soil Se, the $BCF_{leaves/soil}$ of Se in radish decreased first and reached to the lowest point at 10 mg·kg⁻¹ Se, and then increased; while in tomato, it reached the lowest at 1 mg·kg⁻¹ and highest at 10 mg·kg⁻¹ Se, which was respectively 0.61 times and 2.16

times higher than control. With the increase of soil Se level, the BCF_{leaves/soil} of Se in cucumber increased first, then decreased and reached the highest at 10 mg·kg⁻¹ Se. The BCF_{root/soil} of Se in radish significantly declined compared to the control at 1-10 mg·kg⁻¹ Se, and then increased at 15 mg·kg⁻¹ Se. The BCF_{root/soil} of Se in tomato reached the maximum at 10 mg·kg⁻¹ Se and was 1.73 times higher than the control, while the BCF_{root/soil} of Se in cucumber reached the maximum at the level of 5 mg·kg⁻¹ Se with 2.04 times higher than control.

The transportation factor (TF) of Se in tomato increased at $\leq 5 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ and declined when $\geq 5 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$, and reached the lowest at 15 mg $\cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ Se, which further reduced by 40.9% compared with the control. With the increase of soil Se level, the TF of Se in radish and cucumber increased first and then decreased. The highest TF of Se in radish was 1.37 times higher than the control at 10 mg $\cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ Se, while the highest TF of Se in cucumber was

| Tab | le | 2: | Se | leniun | n accumu | lation | in | root, | stem, | leaf | and | fruit | of | three | vegeta | bles |
|-----|----|----|----|--------|----------|--------|----|-------|-------|------|-----|-------|----|-------|--------|------|
|-----|----|----|----|--------|----------|--------|----|-------|-------|------|-----|-------|----|-------|--------|------|

| Se | | | | | S | e accumulatio | n/µg·pot ⁻¹ | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------------|--|
| level/mg- | | Root | | | Stem | | | Leave | | Fruit | | | |
| kg ⁻¹ | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish ' | Tomato | Cucumber | |
| 0 | 2.03±0.13a | 1.36±0.13b | 0.63±0.13c | 0.15±0.10c | 3.02±0.42b | 1.55±0.09a | 0.58±0.01a | 5.94±0.39b | 2.86±0.09c | - 2 | 2.45±0.10b | 8.95±0.37a | |
| 1 | 6.31±0.06c | 3.19±0.55b | 2.45±0.02a | 0.57±0.01a | 7.60±0.78b | 3.41±0.71c | 1.41±0.02b | 10.60±1.97a | 10.67±0.19a | - 2 | 2.22±0.12a | 11.54±0.03b | |
| 5 | 23.55±0.46a | 7.23±0.98b | 6.98±0.71bc | 2.03±0.15c | 20.56±0.77ab | 19.90±0.95a | 5.27±0.07a | 34.24±1.97b | 24.31±0.73c | - ′ | 7.89±0.88b | 28.31±0.46a | |
| 10 | 24.01±0.09c | 19.98±0.84b | 12.71±0.03a | 1.91±0.54a | 25.17±0.32b | 21.72±1.32c | 8.04±0.28c | 38.72±0.03b | 44.78±0.77a | - 2 | 21.80±0.97a | $23.69{\pm}2.78ab$ | |
| 15 | 29.06±0.24a | 20.11±0.66b | 19.15±0.03bc | 1.79±0.51c | 49.82±0.28b | 16.35±0.99a | 10.30±0.39a | $57.78 \pm 1.64 b$ | 41.57±0.92c | - 2 | 24.30±0.25b | 17.40±1.98a | |
| Different | letters (a_h | c) indicate si | onificant diffe | erence at P | < 0.05 among | different spe | cies at the sa | me Se level | | | | | |

Different letters (a, b, c) indicate significant difference at $P \leq 0.05$ among different species at the same selected

Table 3: Comparison of BCF and TF of selenium in three vegetables

| Se | | BCF _{root/soil} | | | BCF _{stem/sc} | oil | | BCF _{leave/soil} | | TF | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| level / | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | Radish | Tomato | Cucumber | |
| mg.kg ^{.1} | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1.23±0.22a | 0.64±0.07b | 0.60±0.31b | 0.68±0.02c | 0.14±0.87ab | 0.12±0.12a | 0.89±0.54a | 0.41±0.57b | 0.34±0.07c | 0.55±0.004c | 0.28±0.005b | 0.12±0.005a | |
| 1 | 0.76±0.14c | 0.69±0.02ab | 0.66±0.02a | 0.42±0.55a | 0.11±0.39b | 0.08±0.95c | 0.64±0.87c | 0.25±0.83ab | 0.29±0.96a | 0.70±0.005a | 0.31±0.007b | 0.22±0.009bc | |
| 5 | 0.59±0.08a | 0.61±0.12ab | 1.23±0.22c | 0.30±0.64c | 0.17±0.28b | 0.66±0.02a | 0.49±0.21a | 0.30±0.14b | 0.89±0.54c | 0.74±0.005c | 0.28±0.005ab | 0.23±0.008a | |
| 10 | 0.58±0.18c | 1.11±0.03b | 0.76±0.14a | 0.278±0.51a | 0.15±0.73b | 0.42±0.55c | 0.43±0.32c | 0.89±0.11b | 0.63±0.87a | 0.75±0.008a | 0.21±0.005b | 0.17±0.004bc | |
| 15 | 1.11±0.65a | $0.61 \pm 0.54 b$ | 0.59±0.08c | 0.295±0.78c | $0.19{\pm}0.05b$ | 0.30±0.64a | 0.65±0.93a | 0.35±0.74b | 0.49±0.21c | 0.67±0.002c | 0.17±0.004ab | 0.13±0.004a | |
| Differ | ent letters (a | , b, c) indicat | te significan | t difference | at $P \leq 0.05$ at | nong differe | ent species a | t the same Se | level | | | | |

1.85 times higher than the control at 5 mg·kg⁻¹ Se. The TF of Se in the three vegetables was in order of radish > tomato > cucumber.

Discussion

Application of Se fertilizer could help in its absorption in crops and improving levels in animals and human bodies (Ros et al., 2016). It is an effective way to supplement Se in low-Se areas to increase its crop concentration by soil application (Oancea et al., 2014). Although Se is a not necessary element for plant growth, a moderate of its amount can promote growth, increase crop yield and Se concentration as evident from present study results (Nawaz et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2015; Temesgen et al., 2015). This might be due to a moderate Se concentration in plant to improve antioxidant enzymes activity to enhance the protective enzyme system in plants. The low Se concentration can be used to improve plant productivity and promote dry matter accumulation in plants by improving and regulating photosynthesis (Pandey and Gupta, 2015; Jiang et al., 2017). Golob et al. (2016) reported that too much Se inhibited the growth of plants. In the present study, growth of tomato and cucumber was inhibited at high Se (15 mg·kg⁻¹), as reported by Han *et al.* (2013, 2015), Issam et al. (2015), Jiang et al. (2015). This may be due to the reason that the Se replaced the sulfur in SH- and affect the normal protein metabolism, thus inhibiting its endogenous antioxidant system, increasing the degree of intimal lipid peroxidation in cells, causing toxicity to plants, reducing photosynthetic productivity and inhibiting crop growth (Jiang et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2016). It was also found in present study that applying Se in soils promotes the radish growth, and even at high Se 15 mg·kg⁻¹, the biomass (dry weight) of radish was still improved compared to control, probably because radish belongs to cruciferous vegetables which had strong characteristics of Se enrichment and its tolerance (Simon *et al.*, 2015; Schiavon *et al.*, 2016).

The absorption of Se by plants varies with species. In the present study. Se concentration in the edible part and the transportation factor of radish was the highest, probably because radish belonged to cruciferous vegetables having strong ability of Se accumulation and transportation. The highest transportation factor (TF) among three vegetables was of radish, followed by tomato, and then of cucumber. This indicates that the Se absorbed by radish roots could be more transferred to the shoots; on the contrary, cucumber roots more likely accumulate more Se. This seems that the transport of Se was related to the distance and the longer the distance, the worse the transfer efficiency, as the Se translocation in plants require the loading by xylem and phloem, parts of Se could be fixed in this process and resulting in decreased availability of Se (Li et al., 2015). Selenium loaded in xylem can be transported directly to phloem through "code" and then transported to fruit (Li et al., 2015) during long distance transportation, therefore, the loss of Se can also happen at code during long distance translocation, and the physiological mechanism about this aspect still needs further research. The BCF of the three vegetables reached the maximum at soil applied 1 mg \cdot kg⁻¹, with the increase of Se level, the BCF decreased, which was probably because high Se level in soil was more easily immobilized by iron and manganese oxides, thus reducing the bioavailability of Se (Wiesner-Reinhold et al., 2017). It was also probably because the high Se level had poisoned the root system of vegetables and inhibited its absorptive capacity due to high selenite produced salt stress on vegetable roots, thus breaking the equilibrium state of osmotic pressure and making the root system unable to absorb nutrient elements (Hawrylak-Nowak, 2013). In the present study, the distribution coefficient of Se in radish roots was always more than 70% under Se treatments because the radish belonged to root crops and produce high proportion of root biomass so its accumulation in roots was large. As an edible part of radish, the accumulation of Se in roots proved that radish was of great value in the practice of developing Se rich vegetables. However, the distribution coefficient of Se in tomato fruit was less than 20%, indicating that its low Se accumulation ability (Mozafariyan *et al.*, 2016). With the increase of Se level, its distribution coefficient for cucumber fruit declined, which was less than 20% at 15 mg·kg⁻¹ of Se level. However, in the case of suitable Se level, its distribution for cucumber fruit was about 40% which basically met the standard of rich Se vegetables (Chi *et al.*, 2017).

The present study also revealed the effects of different Se levels on the abilities of absorption, transportation and distribution of radish, tomato and cucumber with different Se transport distances, would provide a certain theoretical basis for the development of the measures for the cultivation of Se rich vegetables. Some studies have shown that Se mainly exists in the leaves and reproductive organs of plants (Bañuelos *et al.*, 2015), which means as the leaves senescence, the Se in the leaves will be transferred and redistributed to the reproductive organs. In this study, the distribution coefficients of Se in the three vegetables exceeded 20%, among which, tomato had even up to 50%. If the Se in leaves can be transported to fruit, it is of great significance to increase Se concentration in edible part of vegetables, which needs further research.

Conclusion

Among the three vegetables, the highest Se concentration was found in the roots, followed by the leaves at 15 mg·kg⁻¹. Se. At soil applied Se \leq 15 mg·kg⁻¹, Se concentrations in roots, stems and leaves of radish were higher than tomato and cucumber. Se enrichment and translocation factors of radish were also significantly higher than tomato and cucumber. Radish transported Se in short distance is more suitable for selenium-rich vegetable cultivation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Fund of China Agriculture Research System (CARS-23), and the National Science and Technology Pillar Program of China (2007BAD87B10).

References

- Bañuelos, G.S., Z.Q. Lin and M. Broadley, 2017. Selenium Biofortification//Selenium in Plants. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland
- Bañuelos, G.S., I. Arroyo, I.J. Pickering, S.I. Yang and J.L. Freeman, 2015. Selenium biofortification of broccoli and carrots grown in soil amended with Se-enriched hyperaccumulator *Stanleya pinnata*. *Food Chem.*, 166: 603–608
- Chi, S.L., W.H. Xu, J. Liu, W.Z. Wang and Z.T. Xiong, 2017. Effect of exogenous selenium on activities of antioxidant enzymes, cadmium accumulation and chemical forms of cadmium in tomatoes. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 19: 1615–1622

- EI-Mehdawi, A.F., S.D. Lindblom, J.J. Cappa, S.C. Fakra and E.A.H. Pilon-Smits, 2015. Do selenium hyperaccumulators affect selenium speciation in neighboring plants and soil? an x-ray microprobe analysis. *Int. J. Phytoremed.*, 17: 753–765
- Fan, J., R. Wang, H. Hu, G. Huo, Q.L. Fu and J. Zhu, 2015. Transformation and bioavailability of selenate and selenite added to a *Nicotiana tabacum* L. planting soil. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 46:1362–1375
- Golob, A., M. Germ, I. Kreft, I. Zelnik, U. Kristan and V. Stibilj, 2016. Selenium uptake and Se compounds in Se-treated buckwheat. Acta Bot. Croat., 75: 17–24
- Guignardi, Z. and M. Schiiavon, 2017. Biochemistry of Plant Selenium Uptake and Metabolism//selenium in Plants. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland
- Han, D., S. Xiong, S. Tu, J. Liu and C. Chen, 2015. Interactive effects of selenium and arsenic on growth, antioxidant system, arsenic and selenium species of *Nicotiana tabacum* L. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 117: 12–19
- Han, D., X. Li, S. Xiong, S.X. Tu, Z.G. Chen, J.P. Li and Z.J. Xie, 2013. Selenium uptake, speciation and stressed response of *Nicotiana tabacum* L. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 95: 6–14
- Hawrylak-Nowak, B., 2013. Comparative effects of selenite and selenate on growth and selenium accumulation in lettuce plants under hydroponic conditions. *Plant Growth Regul.*, 70: 149–157
- Issam, S., N. Nawel and C. Yassine, 2015. Selenium alleviates the arsenic toxicity in sunflower seedling. J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol., 3: 101–109
- Jiang, C., C. Zu, D. Lu, Q. Zheng, J. Shen, H. Wang and D. Li, 2017. Effect of exogenous selenium supply on photosynthesis, Na⁺ accumulation and antioxidative capacity of maize (*Zea mays L.*) under salinity stress. *Sci. Rep.*, 7: 42039
- Jiang, C., C. Zu, J. Shen, F. Shao and T. Li, 2015. Effects of selenium on the growth and photosynthetic characteristics of flue-cured tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). Acta Soc. Bot. Pol., 84: 71–77
- Kyodan, Y., S. Tetsuya and Y. Munehim, 1988. Identification of selenomethionine in soybean protein. J. Agric. Food Chem., 36: 463– 467
- Li, Y.F., J. Zhao, Y. Li, H. Li, J. Zhang, B. Li, Y. Gao, C. Chen, M. Luo, R. Huang and J. Li, 2015. The concentration of selenium matters: a field study on mercury accumulation in rice by selenite treatment in Qingzhen, Guizhou, China. *Plant Soil*, 391: 195–205
- Liao, G., Y. Xu, C. Chen, Q. Wu, R. Feng, J. Guo, R. Wang, Y. Ding, Y. Sun, Y. Xu, W. Xia, Z. Fan and L. Mo, 2016. Root application of selenite can simultaneously reduce arsenic and cadmium accumulation and maintain grain yields, but show negative effects on the grain quality of paddy rice. *J. Environ. Manage.*, 183: 733–741
- Longchamp, M., M. Castrec-Rouelle, P. Biron and T. Bariac, 2015. Variations in the accumulation, localization and rate of metabolization of selenium in mature Zea mays L. plants supplied with selenite or selenate. Food Chem., 182: 128–135
- Mahn, A., 2017. Modelling of the effect of selenium fertilization on the content of bioactive compounds in broccoli heads. *Food Chem.*, 233: 492–499
- Mora, M.L., P. Durán, A.J. Acuña, P. Cartes, R. Demanet and L. Gianfreda, 2015. Improving selenium status in plant nutrition and quality. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 15: 486–503
- Mozafariyan, M., M. Pessarakli and K. Saghafi, 2016. Effects of selenium on some morphological and physiological traits of tomato plants grown under hydroponic condition. J. Plant Nutr., 40: 139–144
- Nagy, G., I. Benko, G. Kiraly, O. Voros, B. Tanczos, A. Sztrik, T. Takács, I. Pocsi, J. Prokisch and G. Banfalvi, 2015. Cellular and nephrotoxicity of selenium species. *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.*, 30: 160–170
- Nawaz, F., M.Y. Ashraf, R. Ahmad, E.A. Waraich and R.N. Shabbir, 2014. Selenium (Se) regulates seedling growth in wheat under drought stress. Adv. Chem., 2014: 670–675
- Oancea, F., L. Szabolcs, A.O. Oancea, R. Lăcătuşu, B. Abraham, M.M. Stanciu-Burileanu, A. Meszaros and M. Lungu, 2014. Selenium biofortification biotechnologies of wheat grain in south -eastern part of Romania for a better human health. *Stud. Univ. Vasile Goldis Ser. Stiintele Vietii*, 24: 47–56

- Pandey, C. and M. Gupta, 2015. Selenium and auxin mitigates arsenic stress in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by combining the role of stress indicators, modulators and genotoxicity assays. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 287: 384– 391
- Puccinelli, M., F. Malorgio and B. Pezzarossa, 2017. Selenium enrichment of horticultural crops. *Molecules*, 22: 933
- Ros, G.H., A.M.D.V. Rotterdam, D.W. Bussink and P.S. Bindraban, 2016. Selenium fertilization strategies for bio-fortification of food: an agroecosystem approach. *Plant Soil*, 404: 99–112
- Schiavon, M. and E.A. Pilon-Smits, 2017. Selenium biofortification and phytoremediation phytotechnologies: A review. J. Environ. Qual., 46: 10–19
- Schiavon, M., C. Berto, M. Malagoli, A. Trentin, P. Sambo, S. Dall'Acqua and E.A. Pilon-Smits, 2016. Selenium biofortification in radish enhances nutritional quality via accumulation of methylselenocysteine and promotion of transcripts and metabolites related to glucosinolates, phenolics, and amino acids. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 7: 1371
- Simon, L., B. Biro, S. Balazsy and Z. Gyori, 2015. Rhizosphere processes in the selenium accumulation of fodder radish. *Alps-adria Sci. Works.*, 36: 1811–1814

- Temesgen, T., G. Keneni, T. Sefera and M. Jarso, 2015. Yield stability and relationships among stability parameters in faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) genotypes. Crop J., 3: 258–268
- Thiruvengadam, M. and I.M. Chung, 2015. Selenium, putrescine, and cadmium influence health-promoting phytochemicals and molecularlevel effects on turnip (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *rapa*). *Food Chem.*, 173: 185–193
- Tian, M., X.Y. Xu, Y.L. Liu, L. Xie and S.Y. Pan, 2016. Effect of Se treatment on glucosinolate metabolism and health-promoting compounds in the broccoli sprouts of three cultivars. *Food Chem.*, 190: 374–380
- Wiesner-Reinhold, M., M. Schreiner, S. Baldermann, D. Schwarz, F.S. Hanschen, A.P. Kipp, D.D. Rowan, K.L. Bentley-Hewitt and M.J. McKenzie, 2017. Mechanisms of selenium enrichment and measurement in *Brassicaceous* vegetables, and their application to human health. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 8: 1365–1385
- Zhao, W.Y., W.H. Xu, Y.R. Chai, X.B. Zhou, M.Z. Zhang and W.W. Xie, 2017. Differences in selenium uptake, distribution and expression of seleniummetabolism genes in tomatoes. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 19: 528–534

(Received 05 April 2018; Accepted 04 June 2018)